

9

STRICTURES

H. C. C. C.

8142.2
10

ON THE

LATE POLITICAL CONDUCT

OF

BAILIE SMITH;

IN WHICH

HE IS VINDICATED FROM THE IMPUTATION

OF ENTERTAINING VIEWS HOSTILE TO THE INTEREST OF

MR DUNDAS;

AND

OTHER MOTIVES ARE ASSIGNED FOR HIS CONDUCT.

EDINBURGH:

PRINTED FOR THE BOOKSELLERS,

BY GEO. REID AND CO. BAILLIE'S LAND, OPPOSITE THE
MAGDALANE CHAPEL, COWGATE.

1799.

Price Sixpence.



STRICTURES, &c.

TO animadvert upon the character of any individual, or to represent him as actuated by motives which his own conduct does not warrant, is an invidious task, and such as the author of these Strictures trusts shall not be attributable to him, upon a candid perusal. When he first read over Bailie Smith's Address, he must confess, that he felt himself impressed differently at different parts of the narrative; at one time supposing it to proceed from *honourable* and *public* motives; and, at another, that it was *self*, more than any other consideration, which had occasioned it. Upon a more attentive perusal of it, however, he thought he had discovered the *real* object which had actuated Mr Smith, from beginning to end of his Magisterial career; but, not having access to the City's books, and trusting that those people entrusted with the management of its finances, whose conduct is so loudly, and, if Mr Smith's averments be true, so justly complained of, would not only be able to answer him upon the state of the City's funds, but would also, while they felt themselves called upon to wipe off the imputations thrown out against them, be able, at the same time, to expose Mr Smith's motives in coming before the Public, he did not think it would be necessary

for him to obtrude upon the Public attention, in a business, upon the principal part of which he could throw so little light, and the little light which he might be able to throw upon it, only going to affect the *motives* for making the disclosure, not the truth of the statements given.

These gentlemen, however, seem to take the matter calmly, and to disregard the *offer* of Mr Smith, to *prove* his assertions ‘*when called upon.*’ The only answer made to him is by an OLD MAGISTRATE, and by one who terms his A CALM AND SERIOUS REPLY.—From these publications we learn little.

The *Old Magistrate* gives a state of the City’s funds, very different, indeed, from Mr Smith’s, but to which little credit can be paid, not being sanctioned by any authority. The only other information we receive from this Answer any way interesting to the Public, though by no means to the present point, is, that the famous Dr GILBERT STEWART, and the equally famous JUNIUS, are *one and the same person*; the rest of his pamphlet being taken up with *personal abuse*, against one whom he seems to have been unable to confute in fact or argument. In doing this, he has *aped* the style of, and *committed innumerable plagiarisms* upon, his favourite JUNIUS, but seems to have forgotten, that SIR WILLIAM DRAPER attacked JUNIUS, not JUNIUS SIR WILLIAM DRAPER.

THE CALM AND SERIOUS REPLY, (a title the Author seems to have adopted, in order to shew *how contrary he could act to his own profession*) while it condemns the *scurrility* of the OLD MAGISTRATE, runs, if possible, *deeper* into that fault, and

and clearly shews, that if the Author of it, and the OLD MAGISTRATE, *be not* ONE AND THE SAME PERSON, they were bred in the *same* school. This production throws no light upon the subject, nor is it remarkable for any thing but an assertion, that Mr Smith intended to become 'City Representative' himself; and for an eulogy upon the late Provost Elder, drawn in the most glowing colours, though, probably, not more so than he justly deserved from the person who penned it.

OBSERVATIONS by AN INHABITANT OF EDINBURGH, upon the ADDRESS and ANSWER have also made their appearance, couched in a style rather more temperate, and more *calm and serious*, than either of the others. In it a fair statement is given of the assertions contained in the Address, and Answer by an Old Magistrate; some very pointed and dispassionate arguments are deduced, and the *Managers* of the City are called upon to step forward, and to confute Mr Smith's statements, if they be false, as, by their silence, they are, on that supposition, subjecting the credit of the City 'to *unnecessary* suspicion;' or, if they be true, 'to confess the fact, and to satisfy their constituents that they are adopting the necessary measures for *retrieving* their affairs.' Upon Mr Smith's motives, in starting the question, this INHABITANT is silent, giving it as his opinion, that whatever they were, 'he has certainly served the Public by his present Address.'

As, however, the motives of every man's actions, should enter somewhat into the degree of credit to be paid to him, particularly when these motives

motives can be gathered from his own conduct, as stated by himself, the following attempt to investigate Mr Smith's object, in his present Address to the Town Council, and in submitting it to the consideration of the Public, may not be unacceptable.

The magnitude of the money transactions of the City of Edinburgh, seem *early* to have attracted Mr Smith's attention, nor is it an unwarrantable supposition, that he *as early* formed the wish of having *some share* in the management of them. A man who acts purely from public and disinterested motives, is seldom over-much given to *caution*. Mr Smith, however, the moment he had enquired at 'some of the gentlemen *then in office*, Whether the City kept any 'books?' upon their informing him '*that they did not know!*' immediately begins himself to take the alarm, and to think that he had proceeded far enough, for the present. 'Finding I was on 'delicate ground,' says he, 'and that I should instantly become an object of suspicion, if I were 'to push my enquiries farther, as well as considering my short standing in the Council, I resolved to be quiet.' Had Mr Smith's motives, in making these enquiries, been altogether disinterested, What reason had he immediately to suppose, that he was to become *an object of suspicion?* Was there any thing in his enquiring, Whether the City kept books, to subject him to that character? Or, is it not rather probable, that, *conscious* of a desire to participate in the management

ment of these funds himself, he naturally takes the alarm, and resolves not to proceed too far at first, lest those *then* in the management of them *should suspect his intention*?—So, thinking he had gone far enough, for the present, with the gentlemen *then in office*, he proceeds in his enquiries at different gentlemen *out of Council*, of whom he does not seem to be so much afraid, or to suppose himself *so much* an object of *their* suspicion.—From these gentlemen, Mr Smith states, that he received the same answer. ‘They were all alike ill informed; not one of them had ever *ventured to take it upon him* to make even the slightest investigation.’

The investigation made by Mr Smith, at this period, does not seem to have proceeded *any great length*; nor does he seem, more than the gentlemen who had formerly been in office, willing to *venture to take it upon him*, to make such investigation, while there was any chance of his continuing in office, and a hope thereby remained of his gaining, what seems to have been his ultimate end, LORD PROVOST, and afterwards the CHAMBERLAINSHIP.—His project for accomplishing this was not yet ripe.

At Michaelmas 1796, Mr Smith states, that, by means of Sir James Stirling, he was appointed to the office of Old Treasurer, in which character he became a Member of the Lord Provost’s Committee, where business is debated and prepared previously to its being tabled in Council. ‘The remarks,’ says he, ‘which I had formerly made, here recurred with double force, and I now began to speak of them with greater freedom’

' freedom to my friends. I was strongly urged
 ' by them to suggest the propriety of the Town's
 ' keeping regular books, *upon a mercantile prin-*
 ' *ciple*, so as to shew at all times the exact situa-
 ' tion of their affairs; but observing that myster-
 ' y, as much as possible, still prevailed; that
 ' no one appeared desirous of furnishing the
 ' smallest information; and, feeling that I did
 ' not possess the weight in Council necessary to
 ' ensure the success of such a measure, I adopt-
 ' ed my former course, and still remained quiet.'

The office of City Chamberlain, much about
 this time, became vacant, by the resignation of
 Mr Buchan. We learn from Mr Smith, that Mr
 M'Ritchie, a gentleman who had *already* suffi-
 ciently experienced the favour of the Town
 Council of Edinburgh, Colonel Crichton, and
 Mr Hay, the *successful* candidate, were appli-
 cants for this situation. Had Mr Smith *wished*
 to suggest the propriety of the Town keeping
 regular books, *upon a mercantile principle*, What
 better opportunity could have offered itself than
 the nomination of a *new* Chamberlain? He
 must necessarily have been a stranger to the du-
 ties of the office altogether, and any *new* regu-
 lations must, in a short time, have been equally
 familiar to him, as the *ordinary* mode observed
 in the management of that office. But, Mr
 Smith did not possess the *weight of Council* ne-
 cessary to ensure the *success* of such a measure!—
 Is it possible to suppose, that *any* man, appoint-
 ed to such an office as Chamberlain of the City
 of Edinburgh, would look upon it as a hardship,
 to be obliged to keep books *upon a mercantile*
principle?

principle?—Or, that a *weight in Council* was necessary to ensure the adoption of such a regulation?—Will it not rather fall to be presumed, that *Mr Smith* did not yet possess the weight in Council, necessary to ensure the success of the measure he had *originally* planned, namely, being *himself* appointed to the office of Chamberlain?—That this was the case will be shewn more clearly hereafter.

Mr Hay was appointed to the office of City Chamberlain at Martinmas 1796; and, in his commission, Mr Smith states, that the words *during pleasure*, which had been inserted in the commissions of Mr Buchan, and his predecessor Mr Fisher, had been omitted, either by negligence or connivance.

‘Matters went on,’ says Mr Smith, ‘nearly in the usual train till Michaelmas 1797, every day’s experience convincing me of the necessity, but at the same time of the practicability of clearing up the affairs of the City. I had not to look far, however, to discover, that this object, though a favourite one, both with Mr Wood and myself, would meet with very serious opposition from Mr Gray and Mr Hay. The former gentleman never was at any time given to too much explanation, or at all friendly to enquiry, and the latter seemed now equally to deal in mystery.’

At Michaelmas 1797, Mr Smith states, that he had reason to expect that he should be appointed to the office of Treasurer, but that, upon a compromise, he accepted, at the request of Sir James Stirling, of the office of third Magistrate. In this situation, he had, for one of his colleagues,

B

Mr

Mr Jackson, by whom it was proposed, that a reform should immediately be set on foot in the City's affairs, he having, for that purpose, obtained the approbation of Mr Elder, then Lord Provost. To this Mr Smith most cordially agreed, expressing his astonishment, that such a thing had never before been attempted. With the frankness of Mr Smith's declaration, Mr Jackson was well pleased, and mentioned another thing which it was his intention to bring forward instantly; namely, the settlement of a salary for Mr Hay, as Chamberlain, and to require him to find security for his intromissions. Of this, also, Mr Smith unequivocally approved; and, Mr Jackson having gone over nearly the same ground with Mr Dalrymple, another of their colleagues, received from him similar encouragement.

After waiting a considerable time, and with some anxiety, for the result of Mr Jackson's labours, during which time, a great intimacy between him and Mr Hay is alledged to have taken place, in consequence of which they began to entertain doubts of Mr Jackson's bringing the point of reform to bear, Mr Dalrymple is stated, after an additional delay, to have put the question to Mr Jackson, 'Whether he had yet taken the measures he had promised, for requiring the Chamberlain to find security for his intromissions? To which Mr Jackson, jocularly, and with an evident view to get quit of the question, answered, "We," (the then Magistrates) "were not the persons who had brought him into office; let those who had put him there look to that."—

By

By this, it was clearly proved to Messrs Dalrymple and Smith, that Messrs Jackson and Hay had now united upon some principle, to themselves best known, in consequence of which, the former despaired, upon their own interest, ' of ever being able to accomplish either the one object or the other.'

The principal measures brought forward by Mr Jackson, during their Magistracy, pointing in any way to the relief of the affairs of the City, Mr Smith states to be *two*: *1st*, ' A motion, which,' says he, ' I believe was first suggested by Mr Dalrymple to Mr Elder, regarding the expence of the Council's eating and drinking; in which he proposed to cut off one or two of the yearly public entertainments, and to drink no French wine, by which it was computed that a saving of from L. 200 to L. 300 a-year would accrue to the Good Town.' *2^d*, ' A motion for regulating the petty disbursements in the Council Chamber, on account of the police, forbidding any trifles to be paid away by the Clerks, except in the presence of a Magistrate, whose initials were instantly to be written opposite to the article, in a book to be kept for that purpose. This also was approved of, as what was expected to save from L. 100 to L. 200 a-year. But,' says Mr Smith, ' important as these sums might appear in themselves, they could be regarded only as *clippings*, without by any means touching the root of the great evil; for no steps were as yet taken to lay open and investigate the general state of the City's affairs, without which it was impos-

‘ fible for the Governors of a great Community, like Edinburgh, to know how to shape their course either for the encrease of the revenue, or the diminution of its expenditure, and, consequently, to know how to discharge their duty, except in so far as they might be *led* by a Clerk or a Chamberlain ! In this respect we remained just as much in the dark as we had ever done before.’

‘ Having seen,’ continues Mr Smith, ‘ that every step of Mr Jackson’s was in concert with Mr Hay and Mr Gray, and well knowing how adverse these gentlemen were to our views, in respect at least to the management of the affairs of the City of Edinburgh, I began to despair of any success, and now looked on in silence ; but an opportunity favourable to my wishes soon occurred.

‘ In the month of August last year, a dispute arose amongst the members of Council respecting a successor to Mr Elder for the Chair of the City, but having no reference whatever to its representation in Parliament, on which there could be but one opinion, and this ultimately producing a division in the Council, the party to which I belonged, as the supporters of Sir James Stirling, proved successful. While the issue of the contest was doubtful, I found that Messrs Hay and Gray, the former particularly, were in an underhand way giving us all the opposition in their power, most grossly misleading (as one, if not both of them, have done on this occasion) the friends of Mr Dundas, as to the fairness of our intention towards
‘ him.

him. I knew not how to fight with secret foes like them, because, although every where working against us, they were *grey* in the science of politics, and were no where to be found; but expecting that, if successful, I should be enabled to bring forward my plan, and knowing how much each of them disliked enquiry, I resolved, as well to put the gentlemen upon their guard, as to commit myself upon the subject, to give immediate notice to the Council that such was my intention.

Accordingly, on the 5th December 1798, I gave my notice, which stands on the Council Records.'

Mr Smith came into Council, as already stated, in September 1795, and it is singular, that although an investigation into the management of the affairs of the City, seems, from his own statement, to have been all along the object next his heart, he never broached himself publicly to the Council upon that subject, till the month of September 1798, three years thereafter, and, at a time too, when politics ran so high as to have rendered it a matter of doubt, whether he would be enabled any longer to preserve a footing in the Council.—The purport of the motion made by him, even then, and the cautious way in which it is worded, are worthy of observation. It is couched in these terms: 'Bailie Smith begs leave to give notice, that against next meeting of the Council, he will submit to their consideration, a motion touching the office of Chamberlain of the City of Edinburgh; one object of which, amongst others, will be, to confine,

as

‘ as well the person holding that office, as the
 ‘ other officers and servants of the City, to the
 ‘ proper duties of their respective departments,
 ‘ under such certification as the Council may
 ‘ judge proper to enact.’

He further intimates, ‘ That soon after that
 ‘ business shall be disposed of, he expects to be
 ‘ able to bring forward certain other motions
 ‘ respecting particular branches of the City’s
 ‘ Revenue and Expenditure.’

In this notice, nothing *special* with regard to the *Revenue* and *Expenditure* of the City is condescended on. The motion seems principally, if not wholly, directed to the conduct of Chamberlain Hay, who had surely imprudently and improperly interfered in the City politics, and who, Mr Smith himself says, was, in an underhand way giving *his* party all the opposition in his power, and most grossly misleading the friends of Mr Dundas, as to the fairness of their intentions towards him. To correct which, and to confine Mr Hay to the proper duties of his department was the chief purport of this notice.

That this *was* the bent of the motion then made, and that the motions respecting particular branches of the City’s revenue and expenditure were only *to be* brought forward, if Mr Smith should latterly find himself in the minority, and be turned out of Council, in which case, he would still, as an Old Bailie, and, of course, entitled to remain another year in office, have liberty to bring forward what regulations he might think proper, under this *general* intimation, is
 apparent

apparent from his subsequent conduct. For, says he, 'On the week following,' (by which time he found that Sir James Stirling's party, to which he belonged, had secured a majority) 'I again intimated to the Council, that my propositions were ready, but that as they embraced a greater variety of objects than were even contained in the notice, I could not expect the Council to adopt them without first remitting them, according to practice, to committees of their own number, for consideration and report. The elections being then immediately to take place, before which it would be impossible to bring the business to a period, and expecting that these would strengthen our interest, I was desirous of waiting their issue, that I might know the names of the gentlemen who were likely to form the committees, and, therefore, with the approbation of my friends, I begged leave of the Council to withhold my propositions till the elections should be over, to which they had no objection.'

Thus, it appears, that Mr Smith, finding himself secure in his situation, and in favour with the majority of the Council, again loses sight of his *pretended* favourite object, keeping it, however, still *in dubio* what were his intentions in that respect, and reserving an opportunity to himself to bring them forward, whenever he should see occasion. He, to be sure, amuses us with information of *various consultations* between him and his friends upon the business; but the whole result of these consultations, or, at least, all that he and his friends thought proper to bring

bring forward, consisted in—fixing Mr Hay's salary—obliging him to find security for his intromissions—and, *Mr Smith's principal object*, having him elected into office *annually*.—Having, Mr Smith says, resolved to finish one thing before beginning to another, they make *this* the first object of their care; but are prevented from proceeding farther by the delay of the Chamberlain in finding caution. 'The month of September,' says that gentleman, 'having now commenced, without the Chamberlain's bonds being executed, it became a question, whether in that state of matters, we ought to proceed, or to wait until that business should be concluded.'

It seems *unfortunately* too, to have been the fate of Mr Smith, that, no sooner were his plans of reform, in the management of the City's finances, ripe for being brought forward, than the *elections* approached!—'Another reason,' says he, 'partly induced us to adopt the latter mode, namely, the impossibility of fulfilling our intentions previously to the elections, which were now so near at hand. Our plans would unavoidably have to go to committees; and, as the individuals who were to compose them, could not be known till the new Members should have come into Council, we agreed to postpone them, (as had been done last year in the business of the Chamberlain) until October next.'

This election, however, having a more unfavourable appearance to Mr. Smith's interest than the preceding,—not his *motion* regarding certain branches of the City's revenue and expenditure, which, in September 1798, he *expected* to be soon

able

able to bring forward : But, that the Good Town, of which Mr Smith had for *four* years been one of the Managers, and during which time, notwithstanding his repeated *consultations with his friends*, he had never taken any *active* measures for its preservation, is IN A STATE OF BANKRUPTCY, is at once founded in the ears of the *astonished* COUNCIL, not one of them knowing any thing of the matter, owing to the concealment of Messrs GRAY and HAY ; and the same *pleasing* information is immediately published to the world !

Had the present election turned out equally favourably to Mr Smith's wishes with the preceding, Would this have been the conduct he would have adopted ?——No.——There is little doubt but he would not *yet* have been able to bring forward the motion he gave notice of *twelve months* before. Mr Smith's grand object had been already secured. The office of CHAMBERLAIN, which should be for life, *and during proper management*, had been rendered ELECTIVE, *annually* after the filling up of the Council.

——Had Mr Smith's party prevailed, there is little doubt that *next* election we should have seen him raised to the chair of CHIEF MAGISTRATE. After having secured a majority for that purpose, he would have found little difficulty in selecting such a Council, as, in consequence of his uncommon knowledge in figures, would have had no hesitation in thinking they *served* the Public, by incorporating the office of CHAMBERLAIN and the Management of the *other* funds of the City, presently in the hands of Mr

G

M'Ritchie,

Mr Ritchie, and others, into ONE, and committing the *superintendence* of the WHOLE, to Mr Smith. For this, the present mode of management, as exhibited in the Address to the Council, would have afforded the best possible excuse.—For, that Mr Smith is, probably, better qualified for such an office, than almost any other individual in this country, no person who knows him will dispute. And, that the Town of Edinburgh would be a considerable *gainer*, by bestowing a handsome salary upon *some* person to *superintend* its affairs, and to call to account even the CHAMBERLAIN, CITY CLERKS, &c. and to see that regular RENTALS be made up, and the FEU-DUTIES, &c. regularly called in, cannot admit of a doubt.

It has been generally reported, and from Mr Smith's own account we find it to have been impressed upon the minds of great part of the Council, that that gentleman entertained views inimical to the interest of our RIGHT HONOURABLE REPRESENTATIVE; but Mr Smith is undoubtedly right when he says, that those who so accuse him, must set him down for a '*most egregious fool*.'—What interest had Mr Smith to serve in attempting to throw out Mr Dundas from that situation, *honourable*, no doubt, to him, but of *great advantage* to the Public?— '*He wishes,*' say they, '*to introduce into the representation of the City of Edinburgh, a gentleman with whom he is connected in business.*'—Shallow fools!—That gentleman is already a Member of Parliament!—The most inconsiderable English Burgh suits his purpose equally well, with the first City or County in the Kingdom!

It is generally believed to have been with a view to his mercantile concerns, that that gentleman went into Parliament, and he must be a fool indeed, who, in that situation, would make the Right Honourable Gentleman his enemy, when he could effect his purpose at so much cheaper a rate!—So egregious a fool, however, is not Mr Smith; and it is remarkable, that the OLD MAGISTRATE, and IMPARTIAL CITIZEN, who, with all the venom they have thrown out against him, have never called his abilities in question, should accuse him of such folly!—Mr Smith clearly, never had any such object in view.—He knew that he could better serve his own interest, and promote that of the friend alluded to, by a contrary line of conduct.

The ADDRESS, whether proceeding from the motives I have mentioned, or not, has been productive of the good consequence called for by AN INHABITANT OF EDINBURGH; an OFFICIAL state of the City's affairs being to be laid before the Public. I by no means, in what I have said, wish to attribute to Mr SMITH, motives contrary to the *Interest* of the *Public*, but the reverse; though I think it plain, from his own statement, that he wished to get the management of their affairs into his own hands.

CIVIS.

Printed by GEO. REID & Co. opposite
Magdalane Chapel, Cowgate.

